



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5609
10/711,610 09/28/2004		09/28/2004	William R. McCoskey	04-0569 (BOE 0503PA)	
27256	7590	02/27/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARTZ & A	•		BAREFOOT, GALEN L		
28333 TELE SUITE 250	GRAPH	KD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIE	LD, MI	48034	3644		
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Ap		Applicant(s)	applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/711,610		MCCOSKEY ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Galen L. Barefoot		3644					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>13 De</i>	ecember 2005.							
·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowan	ce except for formal	matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the a	application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election requiremen	ıt.						
Applicati	on Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b) 🔲 objecte	ed to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the c	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)(a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment(s)										
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			rview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	er No(s)/Mail Da ce of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PT	O-152)						
	r No(s)/Mail Date			er:	.,	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/711,610 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

1. Claims 1 and 30 of this application conflict with claim1 and 31 of Application No. 10/847739. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2,4-36,37-38,39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (3730359) in view of O'Neill (3419164) and Szendrodi et al (4416435).

Art Unit: 3644

See figures 7-9, of Anderson the terms first class and general class do not add structure to the claims in 39-40.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to put passenger seats on the pallets of Anderson as taught by O'Neill since he shows that either cargo or passengers can be placed on moveable pallets. Further obvious for bar-coding on cargo/luggage as this is well known. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further load and unload the multi levels of mixed services of passengers and cargo simultaneously as taught by Szendrodi et al.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the terminal of Anderson with well known with vision and GPS docking for accurate docking. Further obvious for bar-coding on cargo/luggage as this is well known. Further obvious to have aircraft refueling at the terminal which includes drains and vapor control.

1. Claims 3,36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of O'Neil and Szendrodi et al as above and further in view of Husseiny et al (5600303).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the terminal of Anderson with security as taught by Husseiny et al since it provides protection to the customers.

3. Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Konya et al (6863243) in view of O'Neill (3419164) and Szendrodi et al (4416435).

Art Unit: 3644

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to put passenger seats on the pallets of Konya et al (6863243) as taught by O'Neill since he shows that either cargo or passengers can be placed on moveable pallets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further load and unload the multi levels of mixed services of passengers and cargo simultaneously as taught by Szendrodi et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Galen L Barefoot whose telephone number is 571-272-6898.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045.

On July 15, 2005, the Central FAX Number will change to 571-273-8300.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,610

Art Unit: 3644

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceedings should be directed to 800-786-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 21, 2006

Galen Barefoot
Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3644